
ON THE p -ADIC TRANSCENDENCE OF $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k}$

by

Shanwen Wang^{} & Yijun Yuan^{}

Abstract. — Let p be a prime number. In this article, we prove that the p -adic Hahn series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k}$, which is the mixed-characteristic analogue of Abhyankar's solution $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{-1/p^k}$ to the Artin-Schreier equation $X^p - X - t^{-1} = 0$ over $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$, is a p -adic complex number, but not a p -adic algebraic number. Based on this result, we formulate a conjecture about the possible order type of the support of an algebraic p -adic Hahn series and prove that it is implied by a tentative observation of Kedlaya.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Preliminaries on Hahn series.....	3
3. \mathfrak{A} is a p -adic complex number.....	5
4. \mathbf{Q}_p -transcendence of \mathfrak{A}	6
5. Order type of p -adic algebraic numbers.....	9
References.....	9

1. Introduction

In analogy with classical transcendental number theory, which concerns algebraicity over \mathbf{Q} , the study of function fields and p -adic fields has likewise developed into an important and well-established branch of transcendental number theory.

When k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, the Puiseux-Newton theorem states that the algebraic closure of $k((t))$ is precisely the field of Puiseux series $k((t^{1/\infty})) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k((t^{1/n}))$. In contrast, the structure of the algebraic closure becomes significantly more intricate when the base field k has positive characteristic. For example, Chevalley showed in [Che51, p. 64] that the Artin-Schreier equation

$$(a) \quad X^p - X - t^{-1} = 0$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 11J81, 11J61, 11D88.

Key words and phrases. — p -adic transcendence, p -adic Hahn series.

over $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$ (and even $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t))$) has no solution in the field of Puiseux series $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t^{1/\infty}))$. On the other hand, Abhyankar observed in [Abh56] that the formal series

$$(b) \quad \mathfrak{a} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{-1/p^k},$$

which lives in the field of Hahn series $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ (cf. Example 2.5), is a root of the above equation. This example demonstrates that the framework of Hahn series offers an appropriate setting for investigating transcendental number theory over local fields of equal characteristic $p > 0$. In 2001, Kedlaya gives a sufficient and necessary condition (cf. [Ked01b, Theorem 8, Corollary 9]⁽¹⁾) for a Hahn series in $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ (resp. $\mathbf{F}_p((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$) to be algebraic over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t))$ (resp. $\mathbf{F}_p((t))$) with the language of twist-recurrent sequences.

A comparable phenomenon is also observed in the mixed-characteristic context. As proved by Lampert (cf. [Lam86]), Poonen (cf. [Poo93]) and Kedlaya (cf. [Ked01a]), for any prime number p , the field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ of p -adic Hahn series (cf. Example 2.5) with residue field $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ and value group \mathbf{Q} is the spherical completion of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, which is algebraically closed and complete. By passing to Witt vectors, Kedlaya's criterion generalizes to verify whether a p -adic Hahn series lies in the **completed** integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ (cf. Theorem 3.1). For example, we consider the p -adic analogue of Abhyankar's series (b):

$$\mathfrak{A} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}})).$$

Kedlaya's result allows us to prove

Theorem A (cf. Theorem 3.1). — *The p -adic Hahn series \mathfrak{A} lies in \mathbf{C}_p .*

Owing to the completeness nature of Witt vectors, Kedlaya's criterion is unable to ascertain whether a p -adic Hahn series is algebraic over \mathbf{Q}_p . There are several necessary conditions for a p -adic Hahn series to be algebraic over \mathbf{Q}_p . For example, in [Lam86], [Ked01a] and [WY24], it is shown that if a p -adic Hahn series $\sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [c_q] p^q$ is algebraic over \mathbf{Q}_p , then

1. There exists $r \in \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that $c_q \in \mathbf{F}_{p^r}$ for all $q \in \mathbf{Q}$;
2. There exists $N \in \mathbf{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that the support $\{q \in \mathbf{Q} \mid c_q \neq 0\}$ is contained in $\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{Z}[p^{-1}]$;
3. The accumulation points of the support $\{q \in \mathbf{Q} \mid c_q \neq 0\}$ are rational numbers.

Note that the element \mathfrak{A} satisfies all above necessary conditions, and it is even p -quasi-automatic in the sense of Kedlaya [Ked17]. In contrast to Abhyankar's observation regarding the algebraicity of \mathfrak{a} , we establish the following result, which is counterintuitive:

Theorem B (cf. Theorem 4.4). — *The p -adic Hahn series \mathfrak{A} is transcendental over \mathbf{Q}_p .*

This finding highlights the fundamental distinction between transcendental number theory in the context of local fields with equal characteristic $p > 0$ and that pertaining to local fields of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$.

Due to the inherent difficulty in establishing a general criterion for the transcendence of p -adic Hahn series over \mathbf{Q}_p , more tractable problems are proposed. For example, Lampert asked in [Lam86] about the possible order type of the support of an \mathbf{Q}_p -algebraic p -adic Hahn series. Inspired by the transcendence of \mathfrak{A} , which has bounded but infinite support, we formulate the following conjecture:

⁽¹⁾See also [Ked17, Remark 2.9] for the critical remark on [Ked01b, Theorem 8].

Conjecture C (cf. Conjecture 5.1). — *If a p -adic algebraic number has bounded support, then its support is finite.*

We will prove in Proposition 5.2 that this conjecture is implied by a hypothetical observation by Kedlaya in [Ked01a]. It is anticipated that this conjecture will constitute a foundational advancement in the study of the \mathbf{Q}_p -transcendence properties of p -adic Hahn series.

2. Preliminaries on Hahn series

To make this article self-contained, we briefly recall some basic facts about Hahn series.

Definition 2.1 ([Poo93, Section 3]). — *Let R be a commutative ring and G be an ordered group.*

1. For any $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(G, R)$, we define the **support** of f to be

$$\text{Supp}(f) = \{g \in G : f(g) \neq 0\}.$$

2. Define the set of **Hahn series** over R with value group G to be

$$R((G)) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(G, R) : \text{Supp}(f) \text{ is well-ordered}\}.$$

By introducing a formal variable t , elements in $R((G))$ will also be written as $\sum_{g \in G} r_g t^g$, where $r_g \in R$ for all $g \in G$.

Proposition 2.2 ([Poo93, Lemma 1, Corollary 2]). — *Let R be a commutative ring and G be an ordered group.*

1. With identity $1 \cdot t^0$ and addition as well as multiplication given by

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g t^g + \sum_{g \in G} b_g t^g := \sum_{g \in G} (a_g + b_g) t^g, \quad \sum_{g \in G} a_g t^g \cdot \sum_{g \in G} b_g t^g := \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} a_h b_{g-h} \right) t^g.$$

$R((G))$ forms a commutative ring.

2. If R is a field, then so does $R((G))$. Moreover, with the map

$$v : R((G)) \longrightarrow G \cup \{\infty\}, \quad f \longmapsto \begin{cases} \min \text{Supp}(f), & \text{if } f \neq 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } f = 0 \end{cases},$$

$R((G))$ becomes a valued field with value group G and residue field R .

Note that $\text{char } R((G)) = \text{char } R$, we call $R((G))$ the **equal-characteristic field of Hahn series** over R with value group G , also denoted as $R((t^G))$ with respect to the formal variable t .

Proposition 2.3 ([Poo93, Proposition 3, Corollary 3, Proposition 5])

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p and G be an ordered group containing \mathbb{Z} as a subgroup. Besides that, let

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g t^g \in W(k)((t^G)) : \text{for every } g \in G, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{g+n} p^n = 0 \right\},$$

where $W(k)$ is the ring of Witt vectors of k . Then

1. \mathcal{N} is a maximal ideal of $W(k)((t^G))$, which makes $W(k)((p^G)) := W(k)((t^G))/\mathcal{N}$ a field⁽²⁾, called the **p -adic field of Hahn series**.
2. Every element in $W(k)((p^G))$ can be uniquely written as

$$\sum_{g \in G} [r_g] p^g,$$

where $r_g \in k$ for all $g \in G$ and $[\cdot]: k \rightarrow W(k)$ is the Teichmüller lift. We call this the **standard expansion** of the element.

3. For $f = \sum_{g \in G} [r_g] p^g$, define the **support** of f to be

$$\text{Supp}(f) = \{g \in G: r_g \neq 0\}.$$

Then the map

$$v: W(k)((G))/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow G \cup \{\infty\}, \quad f \mapsto \begin{cases} \min \text{Supp}(f), & \text{if } f \neq 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } f = 0 \end{cases}$$

makes $W(k)((G))/\mathcal{N}$ a mixed-characteristic valued field with value group G and residue field k .

The most important property of the field of Hahn series is the following:

Theorem 2.4 (cf. [Poo93, Theorem 1, Corollary 4, Corollary 6])

Let F be an equal-characteristic (resp. mixed-characteristic) valued field with divisible value group G and algebraically closed residue field k . Then the equal-characteristic (resp. p -adic) field of Hahn series $k((t^G))$ (resp. $W(k)((p^G))$) is the unique (up to isomorphisms of valued field) minimal spherically complete extension of F . Moreover, it is algebraically closed and complete.

The following examples are the fields of Hahn series used in this article:

Example 2.5. — Let $F = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t))$ (resp. $\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p = W(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)[p^{-1}]$), which has value group \mathbf{Q} and residue field $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. Then the field of equal-characteristic (resp. p -adic) Hahn series $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}})) = W(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)((p^{\mathbf{Q}}))$) is the spherical completion of F with residue field and value group unchanged, which is algebraically closed and complete.

Remark 2.6. — To simplify the statement, we will simply call $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ the p -adic Hahn series without specifying the residue field and value group.

We end this section by proving the following lemma, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.4:

Lemma 2.7. — Every p -adic Hahn series can be uniquely written as $\sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0,1)} c_q \cdot p^q$, with $c_q \in \check{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ for all q .

Proof. — Notice that $\mathbf{Q} \cap [0,1)$ is a set of representatives of \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} , every p -adic Hahn series $\sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [a_q] p^q$ can be written as

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [a_q] p^q = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0,1)} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} [a_{q+n}] p^{q+n} = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0,1)} p^q \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} [a_{q+n}] p^n \right),$$

where $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} [a_{q+n}] p^n \in \check{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ for all q .

⁽²⁾Intuitively speaking, $W(k)((p^G))$ is obtained by replacing the formal variable t of elements in $W(k)((t^G))$ by the prime p .

For the uniqueness, for any p -adic Hahn series $\sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [a_q] p^q$, write

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [a_q] p^q = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)} c_q \cdot p^q = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)} d_q \cdot p^q$$

with $c_q, d_q \in \check{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ for all $q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)$. Then we have

$$0 = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)} (c_q - d_q) p^q.$$

If we write $c_q - d_q = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} [s_{q,n}] p^n \in \check{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ with $s_{q,n} \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ for all n and for all $q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)$, then

$$(c) \quad 0 = \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} [s_{q,n}] p^{q+n}.$$

Since $q+n$ are all distinct for different pairs (q, n) , (c) is the standard expansion of $0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}}))$. Hence $s_{q,n} = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, i.e. $c_q = d_q$ for all $q \in \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1)$. \square

3. \mathfrak{A} is a p -adic complex number

Although the summation $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k}$ is not interpreted as the p -adic limit (which does not exist) of the suspicious sequence $\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n p^{-1/p^k} \right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, we can still prove that it is a p -adic limit of a sequence in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. This is a direct consequence of the following result of Kedlaya:

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [Ked17, Theorem 13.4]). — *The ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ equals to the following sets:*

1. *the completion of the image of the p -quasi-automatic elements (cf. [Ked17, Definition 6.3, Definition 13.1]) of $W(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))^{\wedge}$ under the projection*

$$W(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))^{\wedge} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}})).$$

2. *the completion of the following set:*

$$\left\{ \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [c_q] p^q \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathbf{Q}}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}})) \mid \sum_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} c_q \cdot t^q \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L \right\},$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L$ is the completion of the integral closure of $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p[[t]]$ in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p((t^{\mathbf{Q}}))$.

Remark 3.2. — In the original statement of [Ked17, Theorem 13.4], the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$) is described as the “completed integral closure” of the field $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p((t))$ (resp. $W(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)[p^{-1}] = \check{\mathbf{Q}}_p$). By comparing [Ked17, Theorem 11.12] with [Ked17, Definition 6.3], we believe that the “completed integral closure” of a valued field F in a larger valued field E in [Ked17] means the completion of the integral closure of \mathcal{O}_F in E , rather than the completion of the integral closure (which is also the algebraic closure) of F in E , which is the literal interpretation of “completed integral closure”.

As an application, we can prove that \mathfrak{A} can be approximated by a Cauchy sequence in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p$:

Proposition 3.3. — *The p -adic Hahn series $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k}$ lies in \mathbf{C}_p .*

Proof. — One can verify by direct calculation that the Hahn series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{1-1/p^k}$ is a root of the polynomial

$$X^p - t^{p-1}X - t^{p-1} \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p[[t]][X].$$

By Theorem 3.1, we conclude that $p \cdot \mathfrak{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{1-1/p^k}$ lies in \mathbf{C}_p , and the result follows. \square

Remark 3.4. — The proof of Proposition 3.3 is not effective, i.e. it does not provide an explicit Cauchy sequence in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ that converges to \mathfrak{A} . By Kedlaya's transfinite Newton algorithm (cf. [Ked01a, Theorem 1], [WY21, Section 2.2]), we mention two examples of p -adic algebraic numbers, whose p -adic distance to \mathfrak{A} is less than 1:

1. There exists a root α of the Artin-Schreier polynomial $X^p - X - p^{-1} \in \mathbf{Q}_p[X]$, which is the p -adic analogue of (a), such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k} + p^{1/p-1/p^2} + \text{terms with higher valuation},$$

$$\text{i.e. } v_p(\alpha - \mathfrak{A}) = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}.$$

2. When $p \geq 3$, then [WY23] shows that for any integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a p^n -th root of unity ζ_{p^n} with expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{p^n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\zeta_{2(p-1)}^k}{[k!]} p^{\frac{k}{p^{n-1}(p-1)}} + \zeta_{2(p-1)} p^{\frac{1}{p^{n-2}(p-1)}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k} \\ &\quad + \zeta_{2(p-1)}^2 p^{\frac{1}{p^{n-2}(p-1)} + \frac{1}{p^n(p-1)}} + \text{terms with higher valuation}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_{2(p-1)}$ is a fixed primitive $2(p-1)$ -th root of unity. If we set

$$\beta_n := \left(\zeta_{2(p-1)}^2 \cdot p^{\frac{1}{p^{n-2}(p-1)}} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\zeta_{p^n} - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\zeta_{2(p-1)}^k}{[k!]} p^{\frac{k}{p^{n-1}(p-1)}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p^{-1/p^k},$$

then

$$v_p(\beta_n - \mathfrak{A}) = \frac{1}{p^n(p-1)}.$$

4. \mathbf{Q}_p -transcendence of \mathfrak{A}

To show that \mathfrak{A} is transcendental over \mathbf{Q}_p , we prove by contradiction by assuming that \mathfrak{A} is the root of a polynomial f with \mathbf{Z}_p coefficients. Our strategy is straightforward: we are going to show that there are some terms in the multinomial expansion of the leanding term of $f(\mathfrak{A})$ is impossible to be cancelled out by any other terms in the whole expansion. To rigiously carry out this idea, we need some preparations.

Definition 4.1. — Let $\mathbb{I} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{N}_{>0}} \mathbf{N}$.

1. Let $\lambda: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$, $(a_k)_{k \geq 1} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -\frac{a_k}{p^k}$.
2. Let $\Sigma: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, $(a_k)_{k \geq 1} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$.
3. Let $\kappa: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, $(a_k)_{k \geq 1} \mapsto \max\{k \geq 1 \mid x_k > p-1\}$, where we set $\max \emptyset = 0$. This always makes sense since for every $\underline{a} = (a_k)_{k \geq 1} \in \mathbb{I}$, there are only finitely many k 's with $a_k \neq 0$.
4. We call an element $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{I}$ **reduced**, if $\kappa(\underline{a}) = 0$, i.e. $0 \leq a_k \leq p-1$ for all $k \geq 1$.
5. For any $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{I}$, write $\underline{a} \sim \underline{b}$ if $\lambda(\underline{a}) - \lambda(\underline{b}) \in \mathbf{Z}$. It is easy to see that \sim is an equivalence relation.

With above notations, we can write the multinomial expansion of \mathfrak{A}^i , $i = 0, \dots, n+1$ as

$$(d) \quad \mathfrak{A}^i = \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \Sigma(\underline{k})=i}} s_i \binom{i}{\underline{k}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k})}.$$

Intuitively, the “cancellation” could only happen between terms $p^{\lambda(\underline{a})}$ and $p^{\lambda(\underline{b})}$ with $\underline{a} \sim \underline{b}$. Hence we need to understand the equivalence classes of \sim in \mathbb{I} . The following lemma shows that each equivalence class contains a unique reduced element, which plays the role of the “canonical representative” of the equivalence class.

Lemma 4.2. —

1. For every $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{I}$, there exists a unique reduced element $\underline{a}_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\underline{a} \sim \underline{a}_{\text{red}}$.
2. One has $\Sigma(\underline{a}_{\text{red}}) \leq \Sigma(\underline{a})$. The equality holds if and only if \underline{a} is reduced, i.e. $\underline{a} = \underline{a}_{\text{red}}$.

Proof. — We prove by induction on $\kappa(\underline{a})$ that there exists a reduced element $\underline{a}_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\underline{a} \sim \underline{a}_{\text{red}}$ and $\Sigma(\underline{a}_{\text{red}}) \leq \Sigma(\underline{a})$.

If $\kappa(\underline{a}) = 0$, then we can take $\underline{a}_{\text{red}} = \underline{a}$. Suppose that $\kappa(\underline{a}) = n + 1$ for certain $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the claim holds for all elements in \mathbb{I} with κ -value not greater than n .

Since $a_{n+1} > p - 1$, we can write $a_{n+1} = r + p \cdot d$, with $r \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Let $\underline{a}' := (a'_k)_{k \geq 1} \in \mathbb{I}$ be defined as follows:

$$a'_k := \begin{cases} a_k, & \text{if } k \neq n, n + 1; \\ r, & \text{if } k = n + 1; \\ a_n + d, & \text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ and } k = n. \end{cases}$$

Then one can check by direct calculation that

$$\lambda(\underline{a}) = -\frac{r + p \cdot d}{p^{n+1}} + \sum_{k \neq n+1} -\frac{a_k}{p^k} = -\frac{r}{p^{n+1}} - \frac{a_n + d}{p^n} + \sum_{k \neq n, n+1} -\frac{a_k}{p^k} = \lambda(\underline{a}')$$

when $n \geq 1$, and

$$\lambda(\underline{a}) = -\frac{r + p \cdot d}{p} + \sum_{k \geq 2} -\frac{a_k}{p^k} = \lambda(\underline{a}') - d$$

when $n = 0$. In both cases, we have $\underline{a} \sim \underline{a}'$ and $\Sigma(\underline{a}') \leq n$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a reduced element $\underline{a}'_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\underline{a}' \sim \underline{a}'_{\text{red}}$ and $\Sigma(\underline{a}'_{\text{red}}) \leq \Sigma(\underline{a}')$. Hence we have $\underline{a} \sim \underline{a}'_{\text{red}}$ and $\Sigma(\underline{a}'_{\text{red}}) \leq \Sigma(\underline{a})$. This finishes the proof of the existence.

Now take two reduced elements \underline{x} and \underline{y} with $\underline{x} \sim \underline{y}$. Since

$$-1 = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{p^k} < \lambda(\underline{x}), \lambda(\underline{y}) \leq 0,$$

the condition $\underline{x} \sim \underline{y}$ implies that $\lambda(\underline{x}) = \lambda(\underline{y})$. By viewing $\lambda(\underline{x})$ and $\lambda(\underline{y})$ as floating point numbers in base p , a digit-by-digit comparison shows that they are equal. This finishes the proof of the uniqueness.

For the last statement, suppose that $\Sigma(\underline{a}_{\text{red}}) = \Sigma(\underline{a})$. If $\Sigma(\underline{a}) = 0$, then $\underline{a} = \underline{a}_{\text{red}} = (0, 0, \dots)$. Now we assume $\Sigma(\underline{a}) > 0$ and \underline{a} is not reduced. Then the construction in the first part of the proof shows that there exists $\underline{a}' \in \mathbb{I}$ with $\underline{a}' \sim \underline{a}$ and $\Sigma(\underline{a}') < \Sigma(\underline{a})$. As a result, we obtain $\Sigma(\underline{a}_{\text{red}}) \leq \Sigma(\underline{a}') < \Sigma(\underline{a})$, which contradicts the assumption. \square

Corollary 4.3. — For every $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in \mathbb{I}$, $\underline{a} \sim \underline{b}$ if and only if $\underline{a}_{\text{red}} = \underline{b}_{\text{red}}$.

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this article:

Theorem 4.4. — The p -adic Hahn series $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p^{-1/p^k}$ is transcendental over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Proof. — Suppose the contrary that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_0, \dots, s_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$s_0 + s_1 \mathfrak{A} + \dots + s_n \mathfrak{A}^n + s_{n+1} \mathfrak{A}^{n+1} = 0,$$

with s_0 and s_{n+1} nonzero. The multinomial theorem implies that

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} s_i \mathfrak{A}^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \Sigma(\underline{k})=i}} s_i \binom{i}{\underline{k}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k})} = \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \Sigma(\underline{k}) \leq n+1}} s_{\Sigma(\underline{k})} \binom{\Sigma(\underline{k})}{\underline{k}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k})}.$$

If we group the terms on the right hand side according to the equivalence classes of \sim , we obtain

$$(e) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\substack{\underline{k}_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \underline{k}_{\text{red}} \text{ reduced}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \Sigma(\underline{k}) \leq n+1 \\ \underline{k} \sim \underline{k}_{\text{red}}}} s_{\Sigma(\underline{k})} \binom{\Sigma(\underline{k})}{\underline{k}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k})} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\underline{k}_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \underline{k}_{\text{red}} \text{ reduced}}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k}_{\text{red}}) + \delta_0} \left(\sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \Sigma(\underline{k}) \leq n+1 \\ \underline{k} \sim \underline{k}_{\text{red}}}} s_{\Sigma(\underline{k})} \binom{\Sigma(\underline{k})}{\underline{k}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k}) - \lambda(\underline{k}_{\text{red}}) - \delta_0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \underline{k}_{\text{red}} = (0, 0, \dots); \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that for different reduced elements $\underline{k}_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I}$, the values $\lambda(\underline{k}_{\text{red}}) + \delta_0 \in [0, 1)$ are all distinct, and if $\underline{k} \sim \underline{k}_{\text{red}}$, then $\lambda(\underline{k}) - \lambda(\underline{k}_{\text{red}}) - \delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence Lemma 2.7 allows us to conclude from (e) that

$$(f) \quad \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I} \\ \Sigma(\underline{k}) \leq n+1 \\ \underline{k} \sim \underline{k}_{\text{red}}}} s_{\Sigma(\underline{k})} \binom{\Sigma(\underline{k})}{\underline{k}} p^{\lambda(\underline{k}) - \lambda(\underline{k}_{\text{red}})} = 0$$

for every reduced element $\underline{k}_{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{I}$. If we take the reduced element

$$\underline{k}^* := (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^{n+1 \text{ times}}, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{I},$$

then for every $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{I}$ with $\Sigma(\underline{k}) \leq n+1$ and $\underline{k} \sim \underline{k}^*$, Lemma 4.2 implies that $\Sigma(\underline{k}) = \Sigma(\underline{k}^*) = n+1$ and consequently $\underline{k} = \underline{k}^*$. Hence (f) can be specialized to the case of $\underline{k}_{\text{red}} = \underline{k}^*$ as

$$s_{n+1} \binom{n+1}{\underline{k}^*} = s_{n+1} \cdot (n+1)! = 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption that s_{n+1} is nonzero. \square

Remark 4.5. — One does not need to worry about the well-definedness of any of the infinite sums above, since for every exponent with value of the form $\lambda(\underline{k})$, there are only finitely many terms contributing to it (cf. [Poo93, Lemma 1]).

Remark 4.6. — After some necessary generalization of λ , Σ and κ , the same idea can potentially be applied to show the \mathbf{Q}_p -transcendence of more general p -adic Hahn series.

5. Order type of p -adic algebraic numbers

In [Lam86], Lampert asked about the possible order type of the support of the expansion of p -adic algebraic numbers as p -adic Hahn series. Kedlaya showed in [Ked01a, Section 4] that the order type can not exceed ω^ω . He also made the following remark at the end of same section:

In fact, it is entirely possible that the answer to Lampert's question is that only finite orders, ω and ω^ω can occur.

We simply call it **Kedlaya's prediction**. Note that this prediction indicates that there does not exist any p -adic algebraic number with bounded support of order type ω : if $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [c_k]p^{r_k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}((p^{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is a p -adic algebraic number with $\text{diam}(\text{Supp}(\alpha)) < N$ for certain positive integer N , then

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-p^N} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [c_k]p^{r_k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [c_k]p^{r_k+N} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [c_k]p^{r_k+2N} + \dots$$

is a p -adic algebraic number with order type ω^2 , which contradicts Kedlaya's prediction.

Since currently no p -adic algebraic number with bounded support of infinite order type is known, and even simple p -adic Hahn series like \mathfrak{A} is transcendental over \mathbf{Q}_p , we raise the following conjecture about the order type of p -adic algebraic numbers:

Conjecture 5.1. — *If a p -adic algebraic number has bounded support, then its support is finite.*

In fact, this conjecture is also implicated by Kedlaya's prediction:

Proposition 5.2. — *Kedlaya's prediction implies Conjecture 5.1.*

Proof. — Suppose x is a p -adic algebraic number with bounded support of order type α . Let N be a positive integer such that $\text{diam}(\text{Supp}(x)) < N$. Similar to the argument above, we can show that

$$\frac{x}{1-p^N} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} x \cdot p^{Nt}$$

is a p -adic algebraic number with order type $\alpha \cdot \omega$. If we write α as its Cantor normal form (cf. [Sie65, Chapter XIV, §19, Theorem 2])

$$\alpha = \omega^{\beta_1} c_1 + \omega^{\beta_2} c_2 + \dots + \omega^{\beta_k} c_k,$$

where $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \dots > \beta_k \geq 0$ are ordinals and c_i are positive integers, then the Cantor normal form of $\alpha \cdot \omega$ is ω^{β_1+1} (cf. [Sie65, Chapter XIV, §19, Exercise 4]). By Kedlaya's prediction, we split the proof into 3 cases:

1. If $\alpha \cdot \omega$ is finite, then clearly $\alpha = 0$;
2. If $\alpha \cdot \omega = \omega$, then $\beta_1 = 0$, and consequently $\alpha = c_1$ is finite;
3. If $\alpha \cdot \omega = \omega^\omega$, then $\omega^{\beta_1+1} = \omega^\omega$, which is impossible since ω is not a successor ordinal.

□

References

- [Abh56] S. Abhyankar. "Two Notes on Formal Power Series". In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 7.5 (1956), pp. 903–905. DOI: 10/bpq593.

- [Che51] C. Chevalley. *Introduction to the Theory of Algebraic Functions of One Variable*. Vol. 6. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. Providence, Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 1951. DOI: 10/p7m5.
- [Ked01a] K. S. Kedlaya. “Power Series and p -Adic Algebraic Closures”. In: *Journal of Number Theory* 89.2 (2001), pp. 324–339. DOI: 10/d3jvgq.
- [Ked01b] K. S. Kedlaya. “The Algebraic Closure of the Power Series Field in Positive Characteristic”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 129.12 (2001), pp. 3461–3470. DOI: 10/dhmqnq.
- [Ked17] K. S. Kedlaya. “On the Algebraicity of Generalized Power Series”. In: *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie / Contributions to Algebra and Geometry* 58.3 (2017), pp. 499–527. DOI: 10/ggqchk.
- [Lam86] D. Lampert. “Algebraic p -Adic Expansions”. In: *Journal of Number Theory* 23.3 (1986), pp. 279–284. DOI: 10/bqnb2q.
- [Poo93] B. Poonen. “Maximally Complete Fields”. In: *L’Enseignement Mathématique* 39.1-2 (1993), p. 87. DOI: 10/kqcb.
- [Sie65] W. Sierpiński. *Cardinal and ordinal numbers. 2nd ed. revised*. English. Vol. 34. Monogr. Mat., Warszawa. PWN - Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1965.
- [WY21] S. Wang and Y. Yuan. “Uniformizer of the False Tate Curve Extension of \mathbb{Q}_p ”. In: *The Ramanujan Journal* (2021). DOI: 10/gmkfxj.
- [WY23] S. Wang and Y. Yuan. *Truncated expansion of ζ_{p^n} in the p -adic Mal’cev-Neumann field*. 2023. arXiv: 2111.07127 [math.NT].
- [WY24] S. Wang and Y. Yuan. *Hyper-algebraic invariants of p -adic algebraic numbers*. 2024. arXiv: 2402.15947 [math.NT].

SHANWEN WANG[✉], School of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, No. 59 Zhongguancun Street, Haidian District, Beijing, 100872, China • E-mail : s_wang@ruc.edu.cn

YIJUN YUAN[✉], Institute for Theoretical Sciences, Westlake University, No. 600 Dunyu Road, Sandun town, Xihu district, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, 310030, China • E-mail : 941201yuan@gmail.com
 Url : <https://yijunyuan.github.io/>